The magmatic activity (0–16 Ma) in Iceland is linked to a deep mantle plume that has been active for the past 62 My. Icelandic and northeast Atlantic basaltic melts contain variable proportions of two enriched components, interpreted as recycled oceanic crust supplied by the plume, and subcontinental lithospheric mantle derived from the nearby continental margins. A restricted area in southeast Iceland—and especially the Öræfajökull volcano—is characterized by a unique enriched-mantle component (EM2-like) with elevated $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb. Here, we demonstrate through modeling of Sr–Nd–Pb abundances and isotope ratios that the primitive Öræfajökull melts could have assimilated 2–6% of underlying continental crust before differentiating to more evolved melts. From inversion of gravity anomaly data (crustal thickness), analysis of regional magnetic data, and plate reconstructions, we propose that continental crust beneath southeast Iceland is part of ~350-km-long and 70-km-wide extension of the Jan Mayen Microcontinent (JMM). The extended JMM was marginal to East Greenland but detached in the Early Eocene (between 52 and 47 Ma); by the Oligocene (27 Ma), all parts of the JMM permanently became part of the Eurasian plate following a westward jump in the direction of the Iceland plume.

The North Atlantic Igneous Province covers vast areas in Baffin Island, Greenland, United Kingdom, Ireland, the Faroe Islands, and offshore regions (Fig. 1). Volcanic activity commenced ~62 Ma (1) and is attributed to the impingement of a mantle plume head on the lithosphere (2). Enriched and depleted geochemical signatures in the Paleogene to Recent basalts from Iceland reflect a combination of plume-derived and shallow crustal contributions (3, 4). Additional shallow-level contributions in-clude the depleted mid-ocean ridge basalt (D-MORB)-like mantle (EM)-like mantle source (8, 13). We suggest here that the unique geochemical signature is caused by contamination of primitive basaltic melts with continental crust. Our modeling of the Sr–Nd–Pb abundances and isotope ratios demonstrate that the Öræfajökull basalts could be derived from basaltic rift-zone magmas similar to those in the Mid-Icelandic Belt by assimilation of 2–6% of continental crust (Fig. 5). The basaltic lavas of the Eastern Rift Zone and the Snæfell volcano (Fig. 24) are compositionally intermediate between the Mid-Icelandic Belt and Öræfajökull basalts, indicating 0–2% and 2–6% assimilation of continental crust within the Eastern Rift Zone and Eastern Flank Zone, respectively (Figs. 3 and 5). This geographically restricted isotope array (Fig. 3) is unique in Iceland and the northeast Atlantic. It may possibly support the arrival of distinct and volumetrically limited enriched mantle material, e.g., recycled terrigenous sediments as an EM2-component (16) in the shallow melting zone of the plume. However, we favor a model with in situ continental crust contamination, and interpret the uniform O-isotope composition across the entire spectrum from basalt to rhyolite in the flank-zone volcanoes as indicating that the intermediate and silicic magmas were chiefly generated by fractional crystallization (17, 18). Assimilation of continental crust probably occurred at a deep level before the differentiation to evolved magmas, and we suggest that the source of continental material beneath southeast Iceland is a sliver of the JMM.

Evidence for Assimilation of Underlying Continental Crust in Southeast Iceland

In comparison with other Icelandic and northeast Atlantic rocks, the entire Öræfajökull rock suite, ranging from basalts to rhyolites, is characterized by high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr, intermediate $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb, as well as $^{207}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb and $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratios that lie well above the Northern Hemisphere Reference Line (12) (Figs. 3 and 4). These geochemical features, which also include uniformly high $^{187}$Os/bulk-rock of 4.8–5.9‰, have been attributed to an enriched-mantle (EM)-like mantle source (8, 13–15). We suggest here that the unique geochemical signature is caused by contamination of primitive basaltic melts with continental crust. Our modeling of the Sr–Nd–Pb abundances and isotope ratios demonstrate that the Öræfajökull basalts could be derived from basaltic rift-zone magmas similar to those in the Mid-Icelandic Belt by assimilation of 2–6% of continental crust (Fig. 5). The basaltic lavas of the Eastern Rift Zone and the Snæfell volcano (Fig. 2A) are compositionally intermediate between the Mid-Icelandic Belt and Öræfajökull basalts, indicating 0–2% and 2–6% assimilation of continental crust within the Eastern Rift Zone and Eastern Flank Zone, respectively (Figs. 3 and 5). This geographically restricted isotope array (Fig. 3) is unique in Iceland and the northeast Atlantic. It may possibly support the arrival of distinct and volumetrically limited enriched mantle material, e.g., recycled terrigenous sediments as an EM2-component (16) in the shallow melting zone of the plume. However, we favor a model with in situ continental crust contamination, and interpret the uniform O-isotope composition across the entire spectrum from basalt to rhyolite in the flank-zone volcanoes as indicating that the intermediate and silicic magmas were chiefly generated by fractional crystallization (17, 18). Assimilation of continental crust probably occurred at a deep level before the differentiation to evolved magmas, and we suggest that the source of continental material beneath southeast Iceland is a sliver of the JMM.

Significance

The Iceland hotspot is widely thought to be the surface expression of a deep mantle plume from the core–mantle boundary that can be traced back in time at least 62 Ma. However, some lavas contain continental material, which has previously been proposed to have been recycled through the plume. Here, we argue that the plume split off a sliver of continent from Greenland in the Early Eocene. This sliver is now located beneath southeast Iceland where it locally contaminates some of the plume-derived magmas.
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Geochemical Modeling

The Sr–Nd–Pb isotope composition of Öræfajökull volcano has been interpreted to fall on a trend from a depleted-mantle composition toward an enriched-mantle component, interpreted as EM1- or EM2-like (8, 13–15). Fig. 3 shows that the Sr–Nd–Pb isotopic mixing trajectories from depleted tholeiites of the Mid-Iceland Belt to the enriched Öræfajökull basalts largely point toward the EM2 component. One of the reasons that previous studies (13) have concluded that this unique isotope composition could be related to an EM-like mantle component is that the entire compositional range from basalts to rhyolites has uniform Sr–Nd–Pb isotope ratios, as well the uniform and rather high δ18O of 4.8–5.9‰ (Fig. 4). Anatectic contributions from a partially hydrated basaltic crust in Iceland would result in a strong decrease in δ18O for evolved basalts and rhyolites in the volcanic rift zones, without affecting the long-lived radiogenic isotope systems appreciably (19). Minor assimilation of material from a Precambrian microcontinent rifted off from East Greenland, however, may appropriately elevate 87Sr/86Sr, 207Pb/204Pb, and 208Pb/204Pb, relative to 143Nd/144Nd and 206Pb/204Pb, to yield the observed isotopic arrays for the Öræfajökull rocks. The Öræfajökull composition could have been generated by direct contamination of primitive basaltic melts with in situ continental crust buried under southeast Iceland. The proposed Continental Crustal Contamination Trend (CCCT) (Fig. 3) differs from the other trends involving mantle source mixing and progressive melting in Iceland, and along the northeast Atlantic and Arctic spreading ridges (Fig. S1). Sr–Pb–Nd isotope arrays (Fig. 3), including basalts from Iceland, Spitsbergen and the Reykjanes, Kolbeinsey (west of Spitsbergen), and Gakkel (High Arctic) Ridges, demonstrate that the CCCT is—unique and geographically limited—extending from the Mid-Iceland Belt via the Eastern Rift Zone and the Snæfell central volcano to the Öræfajökull central volcano. More extensive data for the entire northeast Atlantic and Arctic are presented by Trønnes et al. (20). Based on the observed CCCT, we modeled the Sr–Pb–Nd isotopic evolution of southeast Iceland using a range of continental crustal compositions (Fig. 5). Based on the prebreak location of the JMM, Precambrian rock compositions from the east Greenland coast were tested as contaminants. Whereas the crustal units of Blosseville Kyst (21, 22) in East Greenland (Fig. 1) have too unradiogenic Pb isotopes to match the southeast Iceland CCCT, the contamination trend defined by the upper series lavas in the Hold with Hope area further north provides a contaminant with acceptable isotope ratios (23). The gray bands in Fig. 5 represent mixing
The CCCT can be modeled by incorporation of 2–6% of the continental crust components into basaltic melts similar to those erupted in the Mid-Iceland Belt to generate the Öræfajökull basalts (Fig. 3). The Snæfell basalts appear to record a corresponding contamination with 1–4% of continental crust. The Eastern Rift Zone basalts form a narrow sublinear array in the CCCT, with the sample localities furthest away from Öræfajökull showing no continental crust contamination, and those closest to Öræfajökull having 1–2% continental crust. A current plume axis position only about 35 km west of the Öræfajökull summit caldera (25) is consistent with mantle flow from a wider Öræfajökull region underlain by continental contaminants, toward Snæfell and across the Eastern Rift Zone toward the Mid-Iceland Belt.

Distribution of Continental Crust Beneath Southeast Iceland

Although the shape and size of the postulated fragment of continental crust residing beneath southeast Iceland is uncertain, we model it as a 350-km-long extended segment of the JMM (JMM-E in Fig. 1). This tectonic block was probably ~70 km wide according to our reconstructions of East Greenland and Eurasia near the breakup time (see Fig. 7A); JMM-E must fit between East Greenland and the Faroes (now part of Eurasia), assuming that there was no younger extension between the Faroes and Eurasia after breakup. The precise width also depends on identifying the exact transition between continental and oceanic lithosphere [continent–ocean boundary (COB)] in East Greenland and the conjugate margin offshore the Faroes (Fig. 6A and Fig. S2). The latter is reasonably defined, but the East Greenland COB interpretation is complicated by offshore seaward dipping reflectors (associated with the opening of the northeast Atlantic) and the younger Iceland–Greenland volcanic ridge.

The JMM structure has been divided into several distinct segments based on potential field and seismic data (26–28). The original size of these blocks was smaller than at present, and the fact that they are now composed of extended continental crust is also reflected in the estimation of shallow Moho depths (18–20 km) from gravity inversion (Figs. 1 and 2B). The gravity inversion—which incorporates a lithospheric thermal gravity-anomaly correction (refs. 29 and 30; Methods)—predicts that the thicker crust of the JMM, compared with that of the oceanic basins to the east and west, extends southwestward under south-east Iceland. Sensitivity tests (Fig. S3) show that the prediction of thick crust on the JMM and beneath southeast Iceland (up to 32 km; Fig. 2B and Fig. S4) is not significantly dependent on sediment thickness or preferred crustal density (2,850 kg m\(^{-3}\)). Crustal thicknesses for the JMM and flanking ocean basins are consistent with seismic refraction

lines between the average Mid-Iceland Belt composition and the Hold with Hope isotope ratio component, combined with Sr–Nd–Pb concentrations for the upper and lower continental crust models (24). An average of Haltenbanken sediment samples offshore central Norway (Dataset S1 and Methods), near the eastern conjugate margin of the JMM, is also an appropriate contaminant (thick red lines in Fig. 5). The wide ranges in Sr–Nd–Pb concentrations and isotopic ratios in the Precambrian crust of Greenland and Norway, however, cannot provide tight constraints on the crustal contaminant.

### Table 1. Predicted location of the Iceland plume relative to the Greenland plate (Figs. 1, 6A, and 7) based on a global moving-hotspot reference frame (33)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, My</th>
<th>Latitude, °</th>
<th>Longitude, °</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>64.48</td>
<td>342.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64.95</td>
<td>341.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>65.42</td>
<td>340.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>65.81</td>
<td>338.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.28</td>
<td>336.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>67.03</td>
<td>335.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>67.52</td>
<td>334.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>68.58</td>
<td>333.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>69.83</td>
<td>332.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>70.43</td>
<td>334.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>71.68</td>
<td>334.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>72.37</td>
<td>335.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>71.27</td>
<td>338.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measurements (31). The postulated extension of the JMM—making the total area of the JMM about 100,000 km²—has wide-reaching implications for the geological evolution of Iceland and adjacent continental margins.

The Iceland Hotspot and Cenozoic Plate Modeling

The Iceland hotspot is widely considered to be sourced by a deep mantle plume from the northern margin of the African large low shear-wave velocity province at the core–mantle boundary (Fig. 6A). However, the formation of the North Atlantic Igneous Province has traditionally been attributed to a fixed Iceland plume, with the igneous activity commencing in West Central Greenland in the early Paleocene and relocating to the East Greenland margin at ~40 Ma (Fig. 6A) as Greenland moved relative to the Iceland hotspot (32). In our reconstruction, which is based on a global moving hotspot (mantle) reference frame (33), the Iceland plume (Figs. 1 and 6A, Table 1, and Methods) was beneath East Greenland throughout the early Cenozoic (before 30 Ma). That fits remarkably well with the long-lasting magmatic activity in East Greenland, between 60 and 30 Ma (Fig. 6B), in a region that is characterized by thin (<100 km) lithosphere (34). The thinned lithosphere is mostly confined to the Blosseville Kyst (Fig. 6C), probably mainly as a result of thermal and mechanical erosion (35) due to the plume head or pulses of magmatic activity in this region between 60 and 35–30 Ma.

Northeast Atlantic seafloor spreading started (chron 24, ~54 Ma) with initial spreading along the Mohn’s Ridge, immediately east of the main JMM along the now-extinct Aegir Ridge in the

Fig. 3. The Sr–Nd–Pb isotopic composition of basalts from the Icelandic rift and off-rift (flank) zones and the neighboring oceanic spreading ridges. A Continental Crustal Contamination Trend (CCCT) departing from the basalt compositions of the Mid-Icelandic Belt (MIB) via the Eastern Rift Zone (ERZ) and the Snæfell central volcano at the northern end of the Eastern Flank Zone (EFZ) to Óðalvík is indicated by yellow shading. Along the well-defined ERZ trend, the distance from the source of individual eruption units to Óðalvík is generally correlated with the isotope ratios, such that the ERZ samples at the shortest distances are compositionally closest to the EFZ samples. The three guiding lines in the Sr–Pb and Nd–Pb diagrams indicate approximately the inferred progressive melting trends, involving the following basalt series: (i) Spitsbergen (Fig. 6A) via the western to the eastern Gakkel Ridge in the High Arctic; (ii) Snæfellnes to the Western Rift Zone; (iii) Southern Flank Zone via the Reykjanes Peninsula to the Reykjanes Ridge. The isotope ratios of the mantle components EM1 and EM2 are given in each of the diagrams, and the small arrows indicate the approximate directions toward the components. The components are taken as the averages of the most enriched samples with $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd ratios of less than 0.51260 for Pitcairn (EM1) and Samoa (EM2), based on supplementary table 1 in ref. 54. Data sources are as follows: refs. 7, 8, 13–15, and 55–61.
Based on the magnetic (Fig. S4 and Fig. S2) and gravity signatures and regional plate tectonic configuration, we have

Norway Basin, and the Reykjanes Ridge to the south (Fig. 7A and B). The fact that seafloor spreading occurred only 8 Ma after the North Atlantic Igneous Province began forming, and within areas that had undergone extensive stretching, indicates that Iceland plume material probably flowed to the most thinned rifted lithosphere, and triggered continental breakup by up-side-down drainage of the plume head (36). In our new plate model, JMM and JMM-E fringed the East Greenland margin until ~52 Ma (Fig. 7B and Tables S1 and S2), when the southern part of the northeast Atlantic midocean ridge (Reykjanes) propagated northward and completely detached JMM-E from Greenland by 47 Ma (Fig. 7C). At the same time, extension took place east of JMM-E, as a southern continuation of the Norwegian–Greenland Sea. The resulting crust—most likely of oceanic origin—is now covered by younger lavas (the Iceland-Faroe Ridge), which obscure its original characteristics. Due to the competing mid-ocean ridge propagation from the northeast (the Ægir Ridge) and from the southwest (the Reykjanes Ridge) between 50 and 42 Ma, JMM-E experienced rotation, and its boundaries with surrounding continental and oceanic crust were subjected to transpression and strike-slip motion (Fig. S2). This time interval with deforming plates coincides with plate tectonic reorganizations in the northeast Atlantic as witnessed by changes in seafloor spreading directions and rates (27).

Based on the magnetic (Fig. S4 and Fig. S2) and gravity signatures and regional plate tectonic configuration, we have...
modeled two westward ridge jumps (marked XR52Ma and XR40Ma in Figs. 7 E and F) and one eastward ridge-jump (XR33Ma in Fig. 7F) that are linked to JMM-E. At 40 Ma, the Iceland plume was located beneath Blosseville Kyst (Fig. 1), the only area with substantial volcanism at that time (37). A continuous plate boundary was established west of JMM and JMM-E in the Oligocene (~27 Ma; Fig. 7F) by ridge jumps in the direction of the Iceland plume, when the plume impinged the offshore Greenland margin for the first time. The Aegir Ridge became extinct from the south (XR29Ma in Fig. 7F) to the northern part of the Norwegian Sea (at 27 Ma), and both JMM and JMM-E became part of the Eurasian plate, where they have resided ever since. The plate boundary was relocated several times (15 and 7 Ma shown in Fig. 8B) before establishing its present-day complex configuration within Iceland.

In our model, JMM-E is currently buried under the extensive magmatic infrastructure of Tertiary-to-recent age in southeast Iceland (Fig. 9). Deep burial of crustal material located at the southeast margin of the rift zones might be readily explained by the observations from eastern and western Iceland that older lava piles continuously subside in response to the extensive volcanic loading of the active volcanic zones and their immediate surroundings (38). Despite the continuous plate spreading, the frequent eastward relocations of the Icelandic rift zones at ~24, 15, 7, and 2 Ma (39) enabled slivers of the JMM to remain near the eastern margin of the active rift zone. In a schematic fashion, the right-hand (eastern) part of the lower panel in Fig. 9 can be considered as a section across the currently active Eastern Rift Zone and present plume axis, 33 km west of the Öræfajökull summit (25). The hot plume material will move preferentially toward north and northwest and the magmatically very active Eastern Rift Zone. This is consistent with the continental crustal contamination trend, which is clearly defined by the Eastern Rift Zone basalts.

![Diagram of Iceland hotspot motion and model track](image)

**Fig. 6.** (A) Published onshore and offshore Greenland sample locations (red filled circles) for the North Atlantic Igneous Province isotopic age data (updated from ref. 37), and the predicted location of the Iceland plume relative to the Greenland plate (black star symbols; Table 1) shown at 10-Ma intervals with 95% confidence ellipses. The surface trace of the Iceland hotspot and the location of the Iceland plume relative to the Greenland plate are both shown as a rainbow-colored swath (color-coded according to age). Our model is compared with the classic fixed-hotspot model of Lawver and Müller (32). Our modeled location for the Iceland plume predicts, within the 95% confidence ellipses, that East Greenland was directly affected by volcanism from about 60 to 30 Ma. For the past 60 My, the Iceland plume (and hotspot motion) when projected vertically downward plots on top of the northern margin of the African Large Low Shear-Wave Velocity Province (LLSVP) near the core–mantle boundary (66, 67), and closest to the seismic voting contour 3 (68). COB (white lines) shows the interpreted transition from continental to oceanic crust. Background bathymetry and subglacial topography map is ETOPO1 (50). Brown areas are above sea level, and blue areas are below sea level. Bk, Blosseville Kyst; Hh, Hold with Hope; Tu, Tugtilik-Sulugssut. (B) Histogram showing published isotopic ages from East Greenland (Blosseville Kyst) in 5-Ma time windows (n = 215 ages). (C) The 200-km depth-slice for the horizontally polarized 5-wave velocity (\(\beta_{sh}\)) component of the North Atlantic-Instantaneous Phase model of Rickers et al. (34). A low-velocity layer in the offshore regions extends beneath the continental lithosphere of East Greenland, marking the area where we predict the location of the Iceland plume between 60 and 40 Ma.
Fig. 7. Series of plate reconstructions (A–F) from prebreakup (55 Ma) to 27 Ma. Reconstructed magnetic anomaly picks (C24, C23, C22, C21, C20, C18, and C13) are shown by circles of different colors according to age (69). IHs is the reconstructed position of the Iceland hotspot using an absolute plate model (33). Major continental entities are shown in dark gray, and tectonic boundaries within these regions are indicated as thin gray lines. Main tectonic blocks of JMM are shown in orange, and the estimated southward extension is shown in yellow (JMM-E). Plate boundaries based on magnetic data (Fig. 8A) are shown as black lines, and suggested locations of plate boundaries in regions where the magnetic data are ambiguous are shown as dashed magenta lines. Abandoned midocean ridges (XR) are shown as thick gray lines. Light yellow area around the main JMM blocks indicates extended continental crust. COB indicates the transition from continental to oceanic crust.
Ocean Island Basalts, Enriched Geochemical Signatures, and Lost Continents

Recycling of continental material through the deep mantle (40) has been invoked to explain many enriched geochemical signatures of Ocean Island Basalts (OIB), but that explanation is based on the assumption that OIB are underlain largely by oceanic lithosphere. However, there are numerous examples of continental fragments within the oceanic basins. Some can be

Fig. 8. (A) Magnetic anomaly grid of the northeast Atlantic (26) and selected magnetic picks for normal chron (C24, C23, C22, C21, C20, C18, C13, C6, and C5) according to ref. 69. (B) Present-day age of oceanic crust based on dense marine magnetic anomaly interpretation and location of observed and inferred extinct midocean ridges. Interpreted continental blocks of JMM are outlined in brown (reconstruction parameters for block S differ slightly from the other JMM blocks; Tables S1 and S2), and light gray indicates added extended continental crust (including the postulated JMM-E outlined by the dashed white line). The ocean-age isochrons were used to determine the lithosphere thermal gravity anomaly correction in gravity inversion (Figs. 1 and 2, and Figs. S3 and S4).
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Fig. 9. Simplified and schematic west-northwest–east-southeast–trending cross-sections through the Icelandic crust, demonstrating the mechanism of successive cycles of deep burial of continental slivers of the extended Jan Mayen Microcontinent (JMM-E). The cross-sections are not drawn to exact scale. The location of the lower section through the plume axis is shown in Fig. 2A. The observations that older lava piles west and east of the rift zones strike parallel to and dip gently toward the nearest rift zone (38, 70), indicate continuous subsidence resulting from extensive volcanic loading of the rift zone and immediately surrounding crust. The volcanic activity in the flank zones produces additional loading and crustal burial 50–100 km outside the rift zones, which have jumped eastward every 5–9 My, at about 24, 15, 7, and 2 Ma (39), in response to the westward migration of the northeast Atlantic plate boundary relative to the plume axis. The JMM-E, positioned east of the plume at 27 Ma (Fig. 7F), could therefore remain within about 70 km of the nearest rift zone margin until today. The three sections depict the rift zone configurations and state of the JMM-E burial at 14, 6, and 0 Ma. The rate of burial is highest when the JMM-E is close to the rift zone margins, within the first 2–3 My of rift zone relocation. The current plume axis (25), plume flow pattern, and inferred Moho (Fig. 2B and ref. 46) are shown in the lower panel (present), which also indicates how the magmatic processes under the rift zones produce intracrustal segregation of light, rising melts from dense, sinking cumulates and restites. This may cause the observed shallowing of the Moho under the rift zones (46). EFZ, Eastern Flank Zone; WRZ, ERZ, and SHRZ: Western, Eastern, and Snaefellsnes-Húnaflói Rift Zone.
readily detected by examining outcrops (e.g., Seychelles) or from geophysical data, e.g., JMM (26–29, 31) and the Laxmi Ridge (41) in the northeast Indian Ocean, or from analyses of mantle xenoliths (42). Others, such as the postulated Mauritania Microcontinent (43), may be obscured by thick successions of younger volcanic material. Samples of old continental crust dredged or drilled from some locations thought to be exclusively represented by OIB, e.g., Kerguelen (44), suggest the occurrence of continental fragments, and that many OIBs assimilated some continental material during the passage of melts through the lithosphere. The geochemical signatures of the Óræfajökull lavas bear witness to this, and an extension of the JMM beneath Óræfajökull also explains the surprisingly thick crust in southeast Iceland. We calculate a maximum crustal thickness of around 32 km, which fits well with seismic refraction results and receiver function analysis (10, 45, 46) (Fig. S4). The thick crust is predicted to extend offshore in a northeast direction (Figs. 1 and 2B), forming a region of anomalously thick crust protruding into the oceanic crust of the Norwegian Sea, which is to the north of (but distinct from) the Iceland-Faroe Ridge. The postulated extension of the JMM beneath Iceland points out that intraoceanic continental fragments are more abundant than previously thought, and caution is therefore recommended in relating enriched geochemical signatures in OIBs to recycled material in mantle plumes.

Methods

Haltenbanken Isotope Analysis. Sr, Nd, and Pb isotope analyses of 116 sediment whole-rock samples from Haltenbanken, Mid-Norwegian shelf (Dataset S1), were carried out by Isotopic Analytical Services, Ltd., in Aberdeen, Scotland. Sediment samples were crushed and ground to fine powder and dissolved in HF, RB, Sr, Sm, Nd, and Pb were separated using ion-exchange chromatography, and isotope ratios were measured by thermal ionization mass spectrometry of VG sector 54 fully automated thermal ionization mass spectrometers. RB, Sr, Sm, and Nd concentrations were determined by isotope dilution, and Pb, Th, and U concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry.

Location of the Iceland Hotspot. The fixed-hotspot and moving-hotspot tracks (Figs. 1 and 6A, and Table 1) presented here are different for several reasons: one is the motion of the Iceland hotspot itself (Fig. 6A); another is the difference in the reference frame, which is due to the motion of those hotspots (other than Iceland) on which the reference frames are based. The fixed-hotspot frame (32) was only based on Indo-Atlantic hotspots (47), whereas the moving-hotspot reference frame (33) is global and based on Indo-Atlantic (Tristan, Reunion, New England) and Pacific (Hawaii and Louisville) hotspots. Relative plate coordinates also differ between the two models and relative plate kinematics within the North Atlantic are based on marine magnetic anomalies (Fig. 8A and Fig. 52), fracture zones, and estimates of prebreakup extension (27, 48). For the past 30 My, the two models agree within error (Fig. 6A). The uncertainty ellipses correspond to 95% uncertainties for the finite rotations describing the motion of Greenland in the global moving-hotspot reference frame. These uncertainties were not combined with the uncertainties of the Greenland–North America–Africa relative motion because uncertainties in the plate circuits are negligible compared with the large uncertainties of the hotspot reference frame itself (Africa relative to hotspots), and uncertainties in motion of the Iceland hotspot itself were not considered either. We do not assume any present-day uncertainty of the Iceland hotspot, but for the five hotspots on which we base the hotspot reference frame, these are 150–200 km (and increasing in the past), and they percolate into the uncertainties of hotspot reference frame rotations.

A present-day plume location of 64.4°N and 17°W (Figs. 1 and 6A) was used for plume modeling by Doubrovine et al. (33). The plume position of Shorttle et al. (25) at 63.95°N, 17.4°W (Fig. 2A), is located 54 km SSW from the original modeling location but makes it easier to explain the continental crustal contamination trend caused by the JMM-E.

Crustal Thickness. Gravity anomaly inversion to determine Moho depth, crustal basement (Figs. 1 and 28B, and Figs. 53 and 54), and continental lithosphere thinning for the northeast Atlantic adjacent to Iceland incorporate a lithospheric thermal gravity anomaly correction for both oceanic and continental lithosphere. The calculation of the continental lithosphere thinning using gravity correction incorporates an adjustment for magmatic addition due to decompression melting during breakup and seafloor spreading. Data used in the gravity inversion are public domain free-air gravity data (49), bathymetry (50), sediment thickness (51, 52), and revised ocean isochrons (Fig. 8B). The gravity inversion for Moho depth and hence crustal basement thickness requires a constant density contrast between crustal basement and mantle. For the mantle and crust, we use a density of 3,300 and 2,850 kg m⁻³, respectively. The long wavelength components of the Earth’s gravity anomaly field are controlled by mantle dynamic processes, and as a consequence, the reference Moho depth used in the gravity inversion must be calibrated against independent seismic refraction Moho depths. A reference Moho depth of 35 km has been used in this study. The gravity inversion methodology is described in detail in refs. 29, 30, 43, and 53.
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